



US DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Office of Healthcare Inspections

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection of the Miami VA Healthcare System in Florida

BE A
VOICE FOR
VETERANS

REPORT WRONGDOING
va.gov/oig/hotline | 800.488.8244

OUR MISSION

To serve veterans and the public by conducting meaningful independent oversight of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

CONNECT WITH US



Subscribe to receive updates on reports, press releases, congressional testimony, and more. Follow us at [@VetAffairsOIG](https://twitter.com/VetAffairsOIG).

PRIVACY NOTICE

In addition to general privacy laws that govern release of medical information, disclosure of certain veteran health or other private information may be prohibited by various federal statutes including, but not limited to, 38 U.S.C. §§ 5701, 5705, and 7332, absent an exemption or other specified circumstances. As mandated by law, the OIG adheres to privacy and confidentiality laws and regulations protecting veteran health or other private information in this report.



Figure 1. Bruce W. Carter VA Medical Center of the Miami VA Healthcare System in Florida.

Source: <https://www.va.gov/miami-health-care/locations/> (accessed February 23, 2023).

Abbreviations

ADPCS	Associate Director for Patient Care Services
CHIP	Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program
FY	fiscal year
LIP	licensed independent practitioner
OIG	Office of Inspector General
VHA	Veterans Health Administration
VISN	Veterans Integrated Service Network



Report Overview

This Office of Inspector General (OIG) Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program (CHIP) report provides a focused evaluation of the quality of care delivered in the inpatient and outpatient settings of the Miami VA Healthcare System, which includes the Bruce W. Carter VA Medical Center and multiple outpatient clinics in Florida. The inspection covers key clinical and administrative processes that are associated with promoting quality care.

Comprehensive healthcare inspections are one element of the OIG's overall efforts to ensure the nation's veterans receive high-quality and timely VA healthcare services. The OIG inspects each facility approximately every three years and selects and evaluates specific areas of focus each year. At the time of this inspection, the OIG focused on core processes in the following five areas of clinical and administrative operations:

1. Leadership and organizational risks
2. Quality, safety, and value
3. Medical staff privileging
4. Environment of care
5. Mental health (focusing on suicide prevention initiatives)

The OIG initiated an unannounced inspection of the Miami VA Healthcare System during the week of January 30, 2023. The OIG held interviews and reviewed clinical and administrative processes related to specific areas of focus that affect patient outcomes. Although the OIG reviewed a broad spectrum of processes, the sheer complexity of VA medical facilities limits inspectors' ability to assess all areas of clinical risk. The findings presented in this report are a snapshot of the healthcare system's performance within the identified focus areas at the time of the OIG inspection and may help leaders identify vulnerable areas or conditions that, if properly addressed, could improve patient safety and healthcare quality.

Results Summary

The OIG noted opportunities for improvement and issued two recommendations to the Executive Director and Chief of Staff in the Quality, Safety, and Value and Medical Staff Privileging areas of review. The number of recommendations should not be used as a gauge for the overall quality of care provided at this system. The intent is for leaders to use recommendations as a road map to help improve operations and clinical care moving forward. Recommendations are based on retrospective findings of deficiencies in adherence to Veterans Health Administration national policy and require action plans that can effectively address systems issues that may have contributed to the deficiencies or interfered with the delivery of quality health care. The results

are detailed throughout the report, and the recommendations are summarized in appendix A on page 17.

VA Comments

The Veterans Integrated Service Network Director and Executive Director agreed with the comprehensive healthcare inspection findings and recommendations and provided acceptable improvement plans (see appendixes C and D, pages 20–21, and the responses within the body of the report for the full text of the directors’ comments). The OIG will follow up on the planned actions for the open recommendations until they are completed.



JOHN D. DAIGH JR., M.D.
Assistant Inspector General
for Healthcare Inspections

Contents

Abbreviations	ii
Report Overview	iii
Results Summary	iii
Purpose and Scope	1
Methodology	2
Results and Recommendations	3
Leadership and Organizational Risks	3
Quality, Safety, and Value	8
Recommendation 1	9
Medical Staff Privileging	10
Recommendation 2	11
Environment of Care	12
Mental Health: Suicide Prevention Initiatives	14
Report Conclusion	16
Appendix A: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program Recommendations	17
Appendix B: Healthcare System Profile	18
Appendix C: VISN Director Comments	20
Appendix D: Healthcare System Director Comments	21

OIG Contact and Staff Acknowledgments22

Report Distribution23



Purpose and Scope

The purpose of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program (CHIP) is to conduct routine oversight of VA medical facilities that provide healthcare services to veterans. This report's evaluation of the quality of care delivered in the inpatient and outpatient settings of the Miami VA Healthcare System examines a broad range of key clinical and administrative processes associated with positive patient outcomes. The OIG reports its findings to Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN) and healthcare system leaders so they can make informed decisions to improve care.¹

Effective leaders manage organizational risks by establishing goals, strategies, and priorities to improve care; setting expectations for quality care delivery; and promoting a culture to sustain positive change.² Effective leadership has been cited as “among the most critical components that lead an organization to effective and successful outcomes.”³

To examine risks to patients and the organization, the OIG focused on core processes in the following five areas of clinical and administrative operations:⁴

1. Leadership and organizational risks
2. Quality, safety, and value
3. Medical staff privileging
4. Environment of care
5. Mental health (focusing on suicide prevention initiatives)

¹ VA administers healthcare services through a nationwide network of 18 regional systems referred to as Veterans Integrated Service Networks.

² Anam Parand et al., “The Role of Hospital Managers in Quality and Patient Safety: A Systematic Review,” *British Medical Journal* 4, no. 9 (September 5, 2014): 13, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005055>.

³ Danae F. Sfantou et al., “Importance of Leadership Style towards Quality of Care Measures in Healthcare Settings: A Systematic Review,” *Healthcare (Basel)* 5, no. 4 (October 14, 2017): 73, <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare5040073>.

⁴ CHIP site visits addressed these processes during fiscal year (FY) 2023 (October 1, 2022, through September 30, 2023); they may differ from prior years' focus areas.

Methodology

The Miami VA Healthcare System includes the Bruce W. Carter VA Medical Center and multiple outpatient clinics located in Florida. General information about the healthcare system can be found in appendix B.

The inspection team conducted an on-site review during the week of January 30, 2023.⁵ During the site visit, the OIG did not receive any complaints beyond the scope of this inspection that required referral to the OIG hotline.

Oversight authority to review the programs and operations of VA medical facilities is authorized by the Inspector General Act of 1978.⁶ The OIG reviews available evidence within a specified scope and methodology and makes recommendations to VA leaders, if warranted. Findings and recommendations do not define a standard of care or establish legal liability.

This report's recommendations for improvement address problems that can influence the quality of patient care significantly enough to warrant OIG follow-up until healthcare system leaders complete corrective actions. The Executive Director's responses to the report recommendations appear within each topic area. The OIG accepted the action plans that leaders developed based on the reasons for noncompliance.

The OIG conducted the inspection in accordance with OIG procedures and *Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation* published by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

⁵ The OIG's last comprehensive healthcare inspection of the Miami VA Healthcare System occurred in March 2021. The Joint Commission performed hospital, behavioral health care, and home care accreditation reviews in July 2022.

⁶ Inspector General (IG) Act of 1978, as amended, 5 U.S.C. §§ 401–424.

Results and Recommendations

Leadership and Organizational Risks

Healthcare leaders must focus their efforts to achieve results for the populations they serve.⁷ High-impact leaders should be person-centered and transparent, engage front-line staff members, have a “relentless focus” on their organization’s vision and strategy, and “practice systems thinking and collaboration across boundaries.”⁸ When leaders fully engage and inspire employees, create psychological safety, develop trust, and apply organizational values to all decisions, they lay the foundation for a culture and system focused on clinical and patient safety.⁹

To assess this healthcare system’s leadership and risks, the OIG considered the following indicators:

1. Executive leadership position stability and engagement
2. Budget and operations
3. Employee satisfaction
4. Patient experience
5. Identified factors related to possible lapses in care and healthcare system leaders’ responses

Executive Leadership Position Stability and Engagement

Each VA facility organizes its leadership structure to address the needs and expectations of the local veteran population it serves. The healthcare system had a leadership team consisting of the Executive Director, Chief of Staff, Associate Director for Patient Care Services (ADPCS), Associate Director, and Assistant Director. The Chief of Staff and ADPCS oversaw patient care, which included managing service directors and program chiefs.

At the time of the OIG inspection, the Assistant Director was the longest tenured member of the executive team, assigned in June 2018, followed by the Executive Director in July 2019 and ADPCS in August 2021. The final two executive team members were serving in interim positions: the Chief of Staff since February 2022 and the Associate Director since January 2023.

⁷ Stephen Swensen et al., *High-Impact Leadership: Improve Care, Improve the Health of Populations, and Reduce Costs*, Institute for Healthcare Improvement White Paper, 2013.

⁸ Swensen et al., *High-Impact Leadership: Improve Care, Improve the Health of Populations, and Reduce Costs*.

⁹ Allan Frankel et al., *A Framework for Safe, Reliable, and Effective Care*, Institute for Healthcare Improvement White Paper, 2017.

Budget and Operations

The OIG noted that the healthcare system’s fiscal year (FY) 2022 annual medical care budget of \$736,390,488 had increased by approximately 2 percent compared to the previous year’s budget of \$721,348,830.¹⁰ The Executive Director reported being happy with the increase; however, the interim Chief of Staff stated that a 2 percent budget increase was insufficient to hire qualified applicants and retain staff due to a 50 percent rise in Miami housing costs.

Employee Satisfaction

The All Employee Survey is an “annual, voluntary, census survey of VA workforce experiences. The data are anonymous and confidential.”¹¹ Although the OIG recognizes that employee satisfaction survey data are subjective, they can be a starting point for discussions, indicate areas for further inquiry, and be considered along with other information on medical facility leaders.

To assess employee viewpoints, the OIG reviewed results from VA’s All Employee Survey from FYs 2020 through 2022 regarding their perceived ability to disclose a suspected violation without fear of reprisal.¹² Table 1 provides relevant survey results for Veterans Health Administration (VHA) and the healthcare system over time.

The healthcare system’s scores were equivalent to VHA averages. The Executive Director said the data were not surprising because leaders encouraged staff to speak up if they saw something that did not seem right. For example, the ADPCS reported that, after being permanently assigned to the position, employees began disclosing more medication errors and near misses. The ADPCS added that, prior to having a permanent ADPCS, employees were afraid to share their thoughts.

¹⁰ Veterans Health Administration (VHA) Support Service Center.

¹¹ “AES Survey History, Understanding Workplace Experiences in VA,” VHA Support Service Center.

¹² The OIG makes no comment on the adequacy of the VHA average. The VHA average is used for comparison purposes only.

**Table 1. All Employee Survey Question:
Ability to Disclose a Suspected Violation
(FYs 2020 through 2022)**

All Employee Survey Group	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
VHA	3.8	3.9	3.9
Miami VA Healthcare System	3.8	3.9	3.9

Source: VA All Employee Survey (accessed October 24, 2022).

Note: Respondents scored this survey item from 1 (Strongly disagree) through 6 (Do not know).

Patient Experience

VHA uses surveys from the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems program to assess patients’ healthcare experiences and compare them to the private sector. VHA also collects Survey of Healthcare Experiences of Patients data from Inpatient, Patient-Centered Medical Home (primary care), and Specialty Care surveys.¹³ The OIG reviewed responses to three relevant survey questions that reflect patient experiences with the healthcare system from FYs 2020 through 2022. Table 2 provides survey results for VHA and the healthcare system over time.

Patient satisfaction in the inpatient and primary care settings decreased in FY 2021. The interim Chief of Staff said inpatient satisfaction may have decreased because the healthcare system did not allow visitors during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Executive Director attributed the decline in primary care satisfaction to decreased staffing levels.

¹³ “Patient Experiences Survey Results,” VHA Support Service Center.

**Table 2. Survey of Healthcare Experiences of Patients
(FYs 2020 through 2022)**

Questions	FY 2020		FY 2021		FY 2022	
	VHA	Healthcare System	VHA	Healthcare System	VHA	Healthcare System
Inpatient: <i>Would you recommend this hospital to your friends and family?*</i>	69.5	74.2	69.7	68.3	68.9	68.9
Patient-Centered Medical Home: <i>Overall, how satisfied are you with the health care you have received at your VA facility during the last 6 months?†</i>	82.5	83.5	81.9	82.8	81.7	80.7
Specialty Care: <i>Overall, how satisfied are you with the health care you have received at your VA facility during the last 6 months?†</i>	84.8	81.6	83.3	83.1	83.1	83.7

Source: VHA Office of Quality and Patient Safety, Analytics and Performance Integration, Performance Measurement (accessed December 8 and 14, 2022).

*The response average is the percent of “Definitely yes” responses.

† The response average is the percent of “Very satisfied” and “Satisfied” responses.

Identified Factors Related to Possible Lapses in Care and Healthcare System Leaders’ Responses

Leaders must ensure patients receive high-quality health care that is safe, effective, timely, and patient-centered because any preventable harm episode is one too many.¹⁴ According to The Joint Commission’s standards for leadership, a culture of safety and continual process improvements lead to safe, quality care for patients.¹⁵ A VA medical facility’s culture of safety and learning enables leaders to identify and correct systems issues. If leaders do not respond

¹⁴ Frankel et al., *A Framework for Safe, Reliable, and Effective Care*; “Quality and Patient Safety (QPS)”, Department of Veterans Affairs, accessed October 13, 2021, <https://www.va.gov/QUALITYANDPATIENTSAFETY/>.

¹⁵ The Joint Commission, *Standards Manual*, E-edition, July 1, 2022. A culture of safety is “the product of individual and group values, attitudes, perceptions, competencies, and patterns of behavior that determine the commitment to, and the style and proficiency of, an organization’s health and safety management.” “Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture: User’s Guide,” Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, July 2018, <https://www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/wysiwyg/professionals/quality-patient-safety/patientsafetyculture/hospital/userguide/hospcult.pdf>.

when adverse events occur, they may miss opportunities to learn and improve from those events and risk losing trust from patients and staff.¹⁶

“A sentinel event is a patient safety event (not primarily related to the natural course of a patient’s illness or underlying condition) that reaches a patient and results in death, severe harm (regardless of duration of harm), or permanent harm (regardless of severity of harm).”¹⁷

Additionally, an institutional disclosure is “a formal process by which VA medical facility leader(s), together with clinicians and others as appropriate, inform the patient or the patient’s personal representative that an adverse event has occurred during the patient’s care that resulted in, or is reasonably expected to result in, death or serious injury, and provide specific information about the patient’s rights and recourse.”¹⁸ Lastly, a large-scale disclosure is “a formal process by which VHA officials assist with coordinating the notification to multiple patients, or their personal representatives, that they may have been affected by an adverse event resulting from a systems issue.”¹⁹ To this end, VHA implemented standardized processes to guide leaders in measuring, assessing, and reacting to possible lapses in care to improve patient safety.²⁰

The OIG requested a list of adverse patient safety events that occurred from October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2022, and reviewed the information Patient Safety and Risk Managers provided. The Assistant Chief, Quality, Safety and Value reported using The Joint Commission’s sentinel event definition to determine which adverse events were sentinel events, adding that staff entered adverse events into the Joint Patient Safety Reporting system.²¹ The interim Chief of Staff described deciding whether to provide institutional disclosures after discussions with the Risk Manager.

Leadership and Organizational Risks Findings and Recommendations

The OIG made no recommendations.

¹⁶ Jim Conway et al., *Respectful Management of Serious Clinical Adverse Events (2nd ed.)*, Institute for Healthcare Improvement White Paper, 2011.

¹⁷ The Joint Commission, *Comprehensive Accreditation Manual for Hospitals*, Sentinel Event Policy (SE), July 2023. VHA incorporates The Joint Commission’s definition of a sentinel event in VHA Directive 1190, *Peer Review for Quality Management*, November 21, 2018.

¹⁸ VHA Directive 1004.08, *Disclosure of Adverse Events to Patients*, October 31, 2018.

¹⁹ VHA Directive 1004.08.

²⁰ VHA Handbook 1050.01, *VHA National Patient Safety Improvement Handbook*, March 4, 2011. (VHA rescinded and replaced this handbook with VHA Directive 1050.01, *VHA Quality and Patient Safety Programs*, March 24, 2023. The new directive contains similar language regarding patient safety as the rescinded handbook.)

²¹ The Joint Patient Safety Reporting system is a database used at facilities to report patient safety events. VHA National Center for Patient Safety, *2021/ Guidebook for JPSR Business Rules and Guidance*, November 2021.

Quality, Safety, and Value

VHA is committed to providing exceptional health care to veterans.²² To achieve this goal, VHA requires that its medical facility leaders implement programs to monitor the quality of patient care and performance improvement activities and maintain Joint Commission accreditation.²³ Many quality-related activities are informed and required by VHA directives and nationally recognized accreditation standards.²⁴

VHA implemented the National Center for Patient Safety program to develop a range of patient safety methodologies and practices. VHA's Patient Safety program includes staff assessing system vulnerabilities that may result in patient harm, reporting adverse patient safety events, and focusing on prevention.²⁵ According to The Joint Commission's standards for performance improvement, staff must analyze data to monitor performance and identify trends and improvement opportunities, then implement actions to enhance patient safety.²⁶

The OIG assessed the healthcare system's processes for conducting peer reviews of clinical care.²⁷ Peer reviews, "when conducted systematically and credibly," reveal areas for improvement (involving one or more providers' practices) and can result in both immediate and "long-term improvements in patient care."²⁸ Peer reviews are "intended to promote confidential and non-punitive assessments of care" that consistently contribute to quality management efforts at the individual provider level.²⁹

The OIG team interviewed key managers and staff and evaluated peer reviews and patient safety reports. The team also reviewed four unanticipated deaths that occurred within 24 hours of inpatient admission during FY 2022.

Quality, Safety, and Value Findings and Recommendations

VHA requires staff to complete peer reviews for all deaths that occur "within 24 hours of admission (except in cases when death is anticipated and clearly documented, such as transfer from hospice care)."³⁰ The OIG found that staff did not complete peer reviews for three of four

²² Department of Veterans Affairs, *Veterans Health Administration Blueprint for Excellence*, September 21, 2014.

²³ VHA Directive 1100.16, *Health Care Accreditation of VHA Facilities and Programs*, July 19, 2022.

²⁴ VHA Directive 1100.16.

²⁵ VHA Handbook 1050.01; VHA Directive 1050.01.

²⁶ The Joint Commission, *Standards Manual*, E-dition, PI.03.01.01, PI.04.01.01, January 1, 2022.

²⁷ A peer review is a "critical review of care performed by a peer," to evaluate care provided by a clinician for a specific episode of care, identify learning opportunities for improvement, provide confidential communication of the results back to the clinician, and identify potential system or process improvements. VHA Directive 1190.

²⁸ VHA Directive 1190.

²⁹ VHA Directive 1190.

³⁰ VHA Directive 1190.

unanticipated deaths that occurred within 24 hours of admission to a critical care unit. This may have prevented timely identification of providers' practice issues that potentially compromise patient safety. The Chief and Assistant Chief, Quality, Safety and Value reported not realizing these three deaths met the requirements for a peer review.

Recommendation 1

1. The Executive Director ensures staff complete peer reviews for unanticipated deaths occurring within 24 hours of admission.

Healthcare system concurred.

Target date for completion: September 30, 2024

Healthcare system response: The Risk Manager will run a monthly report for all unanticipated deaths occurring within 24 hours of admission. All of these deaths will be peer reviewed, except in cases when death is anticipated and clearly documented in the electronic health record. The Risk Manager will keep a monthly tracking of all unanticipated deaths occurring within 24 hours of admission, the number of deaths requiring a peer review (denominator), and the number of peer reviews completed (numerator). The Risk Manager will report the monthly tracking to the Medical Executive Board (MEB). Reporting will continue until a minimum compliance of 90 percent is sustained for six consecutive months.

Medical Staff Privileging

VHA has defined procedures for the clinical privileging of “all health care professionals who are permitted by law and the facility to practice independently.”³¹ These healthcare professionals are known as licensed independent practitioners (LIPs) and provide care “without supervision or direction, within the scope of the individual’s license, and in accordance with individually-granted clinical privileges.”³²

Privileges need to be specific and based on the individual practitioner’s clinical competence. Privileges are requested by the LIP and reviewed by the responsible service chief, who then makes a recommendation to approve, deny, or amend the request. An executive committee of the medical staff evaluates the LIP’s credentials and service chief’s recommendation to determine whether “clinical competence is adequately demonstrated to support the granting of the requested privileges,” and submits the final recommendation to the facility director.³³ LIPs are granted clinical privileges for a limited time and must be repriviledged prior to their expiration.³⁴

VHA states the Focused Professional Practice Evaluation is a defined period during which service chiefs assess LIPs’ professional performance. The Focused Professional Practice Evaluation process occurs when a practitioner is hired at the facility and granted initial or additional privileges. Facility leaders must also monitor the LIP’s performance by regularly conducting an Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluation to ensure the continuous delivery of quality care.³⁵

VHA’s credentialing process involves the assessment and verification of healthcare practitioners’ qualifications to provide care and is the first step in ensuring patient safety.³⁶ Historically, many VHA facilities had portions of their credentialing processes aligned under different leaders, which led to inconsistent program oversight, position descriptions, and reporting structures. VHA implemented credentialing and privileging modernization efforts to increase standardization and now requires all credentialing and privileging functions to be merged into one office under the chief of staff. VHA also requires facilities to have credentialing and

³¹ VHA Handbook 1100.19, *Credentialing and Privileging*, October 15, 2012. (VHA rescinded and replaced this handbook with VHA Directive 1100.21(1), *Privileging*, March 2, 2023, amended April 26, 2023. VHA previously replaced the credentialing portion of this handbook with VHA Directive 1100.20, *Credentialing of Health Care Providers*, September 15, 2021.)

³² VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³³ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁴ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁵ VHA Handbook 1100.19.

³⁶ VHA Directive 1100.20.

privileging managers and specialists with job duties that align under standard position descriptions.³⁷

The OIG interviewed key managers and selected and reviewed the privileging folders of 28 medical staff members who underwent initial privileging or reprivileging during FY 2022.

Medical Staff Privileging Findings and Recommendations

VHA requires service chiefs to monitor LIPs' performance through Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations.³⁸ The OIG found that some Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations were missing information such as chart reviews or clinical observation results and therefore incomplete. Incomplete evaluations may result in service chiefs lacking adequate performance data to support reprivileging recommendations. The interim Chief of Staff acknowledged being unaware of the deficiencies and provided no reason for the incomplete evaluations.

Recommendation 2

2. The Chief of Staff ensures service chiefs complete Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations for licensed independent practitioners.

Healthcare system concurred.

Target date for completion: October 30, 2024

Healthcare system response: Clinical services maintain an electronic folder containing the Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations and clinical supporting data for reprivileging Licensed Independent Practitioners (LIPs). Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations for the Chief of Staff and solo/few providers will have external reviews completed by similarly trained and privileged providers conducting chart reviews. Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations for other LIPs will have chart reviews conducted by similarly trained and privileged providers at the facility. Each month, beginning December 2023, service chiefs will present evidence at the Medical Executive Board for recommending reprivileging of providers whose privileges are due for renewal. Discussion of providers recommended for reprivileging will be documented in the MEB minutes. The Chief of Staff and/or Deputy Chief of Staff monitors the reprivileging process on a monthly basis until at least 90 percent compliance is sustained for six consecutive months. Compliance will be determined by the number of LIPs reprivileged each month between December 2023 and July 2024 (numerator), and the number of LIPs due for reprivileging each month between December 2023 and July 2024 (denominator).

³⁷ Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Operations/Chief Human Capital Management memo, "Credentialing and Privileging Staffing Modernization Efforts—Required Modernization Actions and Implementation of Approved Positions Fiscal Year 2020," December 16, 2020.

³⁸ VHA Handbook 1100.19; VHA Directive 1100.21(1).

Environment of Care

Any facility, regardless of its size or location, faces vulnerabilities in the healthcare environment. VHA requires staff to conduct environment of care inspections and track issues until they are resolved.³⁹ The goal of VHA’s environment of care program is to ensure “a safe, clean health care environment that provides the highest standards in the health care setting.”⁴⁰ The environment of care program includes elements such as infection control, patient and employee safety, privacy, and supply chain management.⁴¹

The purpose of this inspection was to determine whether staff at VA medical facilities maintained a clean and safe healthcare environment in accordance with applicable standards. The OIG inspected selected areas that are often associated with higher risks of harm to patients. These areas may include inpatient mental health units, where patients with active suicidal ideations or attempts are treated, and community living centers, where vulnerable populations reside in a home-like environment and receive assistance in achieving their highest level of function and well-being.⁴²

During the OIG’s review of the environment of care, the inspection team examined relevant documents, interviewed managers and staff, and inspected six patient care areas:

- Community living center (Military Trail Neighborhood)
- Emergency Department
- Inpatient behavioral health unit
- Medical Intensive Care Unit
- Medical/surgical inpatient care unit
- Primary care outpatient clinic (Blue Team)

³⁹ VHA Directive 1608, *Comprehensive Environment of Care Program*, June 21, 2021. (This directive was in effect at the time of the inspection. VHA amended it September 7, 2023.)

⁴⁰ VHA Directive 1608.

⁴¹ VHA Directive 1608. The supply chain management system must meet the needs of its customers, which involves ensuring availability of the right product in the right place and at the right time. VHA Directive 1761, *Supply Chain Management Operations*, December 30, 2020.

⁴² VHA Handbook 1160.06, *Inpatient Mental Health Services*, September 16, 2013. (VHA rescinded and replaced this handbook with VHA Directive 1160.06, *Inpatient Mental Health Services*, September 27, 2023.) VHA Handbook 1142.01, *Criteria and Standards for VA Community Living Centers (CLC)*, August 13, 2008. (VHA rescinded and replaced this handbook with VHA Directive 1142, *Standards for Community Living Centers*, October 5, 2023.)

Environment of Care Findings and Recommendations

VHA requires the comprehensive environment of care coordinator or designee to track deficiencies identified during comprehensive environment of care inspections until they are resolved.⁴³ The OIG found that although staff resolved the three deficiencies identified during inspections, a safety and occupational health specialist did not track the deficiencies through to resolution.⁴⁴ As a result, the Lead Safety and Occupational Health Specialist was unable to ensure staff resolved potential patient safety risks. The Lead Safety and Occupational Health Specialist reported believing incorrect points of contact received reminder notifications to update the deficiency status in the software system. Because staff had already resolved the deficiencies, the OIG made no recommendation.

⁴³ VHA Directive 1608.

⁴⁴ The OIG noted staff did not track deficiencies in the Red Team clinic (paper signage needed laminating); Engineering Department (exit blocked and privacy concerns); and Medical Service Administration Department (cluttered office).

Mental Health: Suicide Prevention Initiatives

Suicide prevention is the top clinical priority for VA.⁴⁵ Suicide is a significant health problem in the United States, with over 45,000 lives lost in 2020.⁴⁶ The suicide rate for veterans was higher than for nonveteran adults during 2020.⁴⁷ “Congress, VA, and stakeholders continue to express concern over seemingly limited progress made...to reduce veteran suicide.”⁴⁸

Due to the prevalence of suicide among at-risk veterans, VHA implemented a two-phase process to screen and assess for suicide risk in clinical settings. The phases include the Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale Screener and subsequent completion of the Comprehensive Suicide Risk Evaluation when the screen is positive.⁴⁹ VHA states that providers should complete the Comprehensive Suicide Risk Evaluation on the same calendar day as the positive screen and notify the suicide prevention team if a patient reports suicidal behaviors during the evaluation.⁵⁰

VHA requires each medical center and very large community-based outpatient clinic to have a full-time suicide prevention coordinator to track and follow up with high-risk veterans, conduct community outreach activities, and inform leaders of suicide-related events.⁵¹

To determine whether staff complied with selected suicide prevention requirements, the OIG interviewed key employees and reviewed relevant documents and the electronic health records of 49 randomly selected patients who had a positive suicide screen in FY 2022 and received primary care services.

⁴⁵ VA Secretary memo, “Agency-Wide Required Suicide Prevention Training,” October 15, 2020.

⁴⁶ “Preventing Suicide,” Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, accessed March 14, 2022.

⁴⁷ VA Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention, *2022 National Veteran Suicide Prevention Annual Report*, September 2022.

⁴⁸ Congressional Research Service, “Veteran Suicide Prevention,” IF11886 version 2, July 29, 2021.

⁴⁹ Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Eliminating Veteran Suicide: Suicide Risk Screening and Evaluation Requirements and Implementation (Risk ID Strategy),” November 13, 2020. (This memo was superseded by the Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Eliminating Veteran Suicide: Suicide Risk Screening and Evaluation Requirements and Implementation Update (Risk ID Strategy),” November 23, 2022.)

⁵⁰ Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Eliminating Veteran Suicide: Suicide Risk Screening and Evaluation Requirements and Implementation Update (Risk ID Strategy);” Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Suicide Behavior and Overdose Reporting,” July 20, 2021. (This memo was superseded by the Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Clinical Services/Chief Medical Officer memo, “Update to Suicide Behavior and Overdose Reporting,” May 9, 2023.)

⁵¹ VHA Directive 1160.07, *Suicide Prevention Program*, May 24, 2021. “Very large CBOCs [community-based outpatient clinics] are those that serve more than 10,000 unique veterans each year.” VHA Handbook 1160.01, *Uniform Mental Health Services in VA Medical Centers and Clinics*, September 11, 2008, amended November 16, 2015. (VHA rescinded and replaced this handbook with VHA Directive 1160.01, *Uniform Mental Health Services in VHA Medical Points of Service*, April 27, 2023.)

Mental Health Findings and Recommendations

The OIG made no recommendations.

Report Conclusion

To assist leaders in evaluating the quality of care at their healthcare system, the OIG conducted a detailed inspection of five clinical and administrative areas and provided two recommendations on systemic issues that may adversely affect patient care. The total number of recommendations does not necessarily reflect the overall quality of all services delivered within this healthcare system. However, the OIG's findings highlight areas of concern, and the recommendations are intended to help guide improvement efforts. The OIG appreciates the participation and cooperation of VHA staff during this inspection process. A summary of the recommendations is presented in appendix A.

Appendix A: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection Program Recommendations

The table below outlines two OIG recommendations aimed at reducing vulnerabilities that may lead to adverse patient safety events. The recommendations are attributable to the Executive Director and Chief of Staff. The intent is for leaders to use recommendations as a road map to help improve operations and clinical care.

Table A.1. Summary Table of Recommendations

Review Areas	Recommendations for Improvement
Leadership and Organizational Risks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Quality, Safety, and Value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff complete peer reviews for unanticipated deaths occurring within 24 hours of admission.
Medical Staff Privileging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service chiefs complete Ongoing Professional Practice Evaluations for licensed independent practitioners.
Environment of Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Mental Health: Suicide Prevention Initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None

Appendix B: Healthcare System Profile

The table below provides general background information for this highest complexity (1a) affiliated healthcare system reporting to VISN 8.¹

**Table B.1. Profile for Miami VA Healthcare System (546)
(October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2022)**

Profile Element	Healthcare System Data FY 2020*	Healthcare System Data FY 2021†	Healthcare System Data FY 2022‡
Total medical care budget	\$745,632,802	\$721,348,830	\$736,390,488
Number of:			
• Unique patients	53,907	62,508	59,485
• Outpatient visits	758,339	882,796	814,557
• Unique employees§	2,662	2,652	2,477
Type and number of operating beds:			
• Community living center	110	110	110
• Domiciliary	53	29	29
• Medicine	71	71	71
• Mental health	28	28	28
• Neurology	5	5	5
• Rehabilitation medicine	6	6	6
• Spinal cord	36	36	36
• Surgery	30	30	30
Average daily census:			
• Community living center	67	60	73
• Domiciliary	22	19	18
• Medicine	34	38	39
• Mental health	15	15	15
• Neurology	0	0	0
• Rehabilitation medicine	5	5	5

¹ VHA medical facilities are classified according to a complexity model; a designation of “1a” indicates a facility with “high volume, high risk patients, most complex clinical programs, and large research and teaching programs.” VHA Office of Productivity, Efficiency & Staffing (OPES), “VHA Facility Complexity Model Fact Sheet,” October 1, 2020. An affiliated healthcare system is associated with a medical residency program. VHA Directive 1400.03, *Educational Relationships*, February 23, 2022.

Profile Element	Healthcare System Data FY 2020*	Healthcare System Data FY 2021†	Healthcare System Data FY 2022‡
Average daily census (cont.):			
• Spinal cord	22	15	17
• Surgery	15	16	14

Source: VHA Support Service Center and VA Corporate Data Warehouse.

Note: The OIG did not assess VA's data for accuracy or completeness.

*October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020.

†October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021.

‡October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2022.

§Unique employees involved in direct medical care (cost center 8200).

Appendix C: VISN Director Comments

Department of Veterans Affairs Memorandum

Date: December 8, 2023

From: Director, VA Sunshine Healthcare Network (10N8)

Subj: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection of the Miami VA Healthcare System in Florida

To: Director, Office of Healthcare Inspections (54CH06)

Director, GAO/OIG Accountability Liaison (VHA 10B GOAL Action)

I appreciate the partnership with VAOIG. I have reviewed and support the findings, recommendations, and action plans.

For additional information, please contact the VISN 8 Quality Management Officer.

(Original signed by:)

David Isaacks, FACHE

Appendix D: Healthcare System Director Comments

Department of Veterans Affairs Memorandum

Date: November 16, 2023

From: Executive Director, Miami VA Healthcare System (546)

Subj: Comprehensive Healthcare Inspection of the Miami VA Healthcare System in Florida

To: Director, VA Sunshine Healthcare Network (10N8)

I have reviewed and concur with the Veterans Affairs Office of Inspector General's (VAOIG) findings and recommendations. We appreciate the partnership with VAOIG. We will work diligently to close the recommendations quickly.

For any additional information, please contact the Miami VA Healthcare System's Chief, Quality, Safety and Value Service.

(Original signed by:)

Kalautie S. JangDhari
Executive Director, Miami VA Healthcare System

OIG Contact and Staff Acknowledgments

Contact	For more information about this report, please contact the Office of Inspector General at (202) 461-4720.
----------------	---

Inspection Team	Catherine McNeal-Jones, MSN, RN, Team Leader Kelley Brendler-Hall, MSN, RN Latoya Clark, MHA, RD Judy Montano, MS Tamara White, BSN, RN
------------------------	---

Other Contributors	Melinda Alegria, AuD, CCC-A Limin Clegg, PhD Kaitlyn Delgadillo, BSPH Angela Enlow, PhD Jennifer Frisch, MSN, RN Justin Hanlon, BAS LaFonda Henry, MSN, RN Cynthia Hickel, MSN, CRNA Amy McCarthy, JD Scott McGrath, BS Joan Redding, MA Larry Ross, Jr., MS Caitlin Sweany-Mendez, MPH Erika Terrazas, MS Elizabeth Whidden, MS, APRN Jarvis Yu, MS
---------------------------	---

Report Distribution

VA Distribution

Office of the Secretary
Veterans Benefits Administration
Veterans Health Administration
National Cemetery Administration
Assistant Secretaries
Office of General Counsel
Office of Acquisition, Logistics, and Construction
Board of Veterans' Appeals
Director, VISN 8: VA Sunshine Healthcare Network
Director, Miami VA Healthcare System (546)

Non-VA Distribution

House Committee on Veterans' Affairs
House Appropriations Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies
House Committee on Oversight and Accountability
Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs
Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies
Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
National Veterans Service Organizations
Government Accountability Office
Office of Management and Budget
US Senate: Marco Rubio, Rick Scott
US House of Representatives: Sheila Cherfilus-McCormick, Mario Diaz-Balart, Carlos A. Gimenez, Jared Moskowitz, Maria Elvira Salazar, Debbie Wasserman Schultz, Frederica S. Wilson

OIG reports are available at www.va.gov/oig.